

A new proof of Pigozzi theorem

Anvar Nurakunov¹ and Michał Stronkowski²

¹Kyrgyz National Academy of Science

²Warsaw University of Technology

Bern, July 8th 2008

Outline

1 Finite basis of quasivarieties

2 A proof of Pigozzi theorem

Quasivarieties

Definition

A **quasivariety** is a class of algebras closed under **S**, **P** and **P_U**.

Quasivarieties

Definition

A **quasivariety** is a class of algebras closed under **S**, **P** and **P_U**.

If \mathcal{F} is a finite family of finite algebras then the smallest quasivariety containing \mathcal{F} equals to **SP**(\mathcal{F}).

Quasivarieties

Definition

A **quasivariety** is a class of algebras closed under **S**, **P** and **P_U**.

If \mathcal{F} is a finite family of finite algebras then the smallest quasivariety containing \mathcal{F} equals to **SP**(\mathcal{F}).

Fact

A Class is a quasivariety iff it is definable by quasi-identities, that is universal formulae of the form

$$(\forall \bar{x}) \left[\left[\bigwedge_{i \leq n} p_i(\bar{x}) \approx q_i(\bar{x}) \right] \rightarrow p(\bar{x}) \approx q(\bar{x}) \right].$$

Quasivarieties

Definition

A **quasivariety** is a class of algebras closed under **S**, **P** and **P_U**.

If \mathcal{F} is a finite family of finite algebras then the smallest quasivariety containing \mathcal{F} equals to **SP**(\mathcal{F}).

Fact

A Class is a quasivariety iff it is definable by quasi-identities, that is universal formulae of the form

$$(\forall \bar{x}) \left[\left[\bigwedge_{i \leq n} p_i(\bar{x}) \approx q_i(\bar{x}) \right] \rightarrow p(\bar{x}) \approx q(\bar{x}) \right].$$

A quasivariety is **finitely based** provided it is definable by finitely many quasi-identities.

Relative congruences

Definition

For a quasivariety \mathcal{R} we say that a congruence θ of A is an \mathcal{R} -congruence if $A/\theta \in \mathcal{R}$.

Relative congruences

Definition

For a quasivariety \mathcal{R} we say that a congruence θ of A is an \mathcal{R} -congruence if $A/\theta \in \mathcal{R}$.

Definition

A nontrivial algebra A in \mathcal{R} is \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible if the lattice of its \mathcal{R} -congruences has exactly one atom.

Relative congruences

Definition

For a quasivariety \mathcal{R} we say that a congruence θ of A is an \mathcal{R} -congruence if $A/\theta \in \mathcal{R}$.

Definition

A nontrivial algebra A in \mathcal{R} is \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible if the lattice of its \mathcal{R} -congruences has exactly one atom.

Theorem (A. I. Mal'cev, S. Burris)

Each algebra in a quasivariety \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to a subdirect product of \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible algebras.

Pigozzi theorem

A quasivariety \mathcal{R} is **relatively congruence-distributive** if for all A in \mathcal{R} the lattice of its \mathcal{R} -congruences is distributive.

Pigozzi theorem

A quasivariety \mathcal{R} is **relatively congruence-distributive** if for all A in \mathcal{R} the lattice of its \mathcal{R} -congruences is distributive.

Theorem (D. Pigozzi)

A finitely generated relatively congruence-distributive quasivariety is finitely based.

Pigozzi theorem

A quasivariety \mathcal{R} is **relatively congruence-distributive** if for all A in \mathcal{R} the lattice of its \mathcal{R} -congruences is distributive.

Theorem (D. Pigozzi)

A finitely generated relatively congruence-distributive quasivariety is finitely based.

A variety version of Pigozzi theorem was proved earlier by K. Baker.

Pigozzi theorem

A quasivariety \mathcal{R} is **relatively congruence-distributive** if for all A in \mathcal{R} the lattice of its \mathcal{R} -congruences is distributive.

Theorem (D. Pigozzi)

A finitely generated relatively congruence-distributive quasivariety is finitely based.

A variety version of Pigozzi theorem was proved earlier by K. Baker.

There are finite algebras which generate congruence-distributive varieties and non relatively congruence-distributive quasivarieties.

Pigozzi theorem

A quasivariety \mathcal{R} is **relatively congruence-distributive** if for all A in \mathcal{R} the lattice of its \mathcal{R} -congruences is distributive.

Theorem (D. Pigozzi)

A finitely generated relatively congruence-distributive quasivariety is finitely based.

A variety version of Pigozzi theorem was proved earlier by K. Baker.

There are finite algebras which generate congruence-distributive varieties and non relatively congruence-distributive quasivarieties.

There are finite algebras which generate relatively congruence-distributive quasivarieties and non congruence-distributive varieties.

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences

For a pair of elements a, b of algebra A let $\theta_{\mathcal{R}}(a, b)$ be the smallest \mathcal{R} -congruence of A gluing a and b .

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences

For a pair of elements a, b of algebra A let $\theta_{\mathcal{R}}(a, b)$ be the smallest \mathcal{R} -congruence of A gluing a and b .

Definition

- 1 An \mathcal{R} -congruence formula is a positive formula Γ such that

$$\mathcal{R} \models (\forall x, u, v)[\Gamma(u, v, x, x) \rightarrow u \approx v].$$

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences

For a pair of elements a, b of algebra A let $\theta_{\mathcal{R}}(a, b)$ be the smallest \mathcal{R} -congruence of A gluing a and b .

Definition

- 1 An \mathcal{R} -congruence formula is a positive formula Γ such that

$$\mathcal{R} \models (\forall x, u, v)[\Gamma(u, v, x, x) \rightarrow u \approx v].$$

- 2 A quasivariety \mathcal{R} has definable relative principal congruences if there exists an \mathcal{R} -congruence formula Γ such that

$$\theta_{\mathcal{R}}(a, b) = \{(c, d) \in A^2 \mid A \models \Gamma(c, d, a, b)\}$$

for all $a, b \in A \in \mathcal{R}$.

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences, continued

Theorem (J. Czelakowski, W. Dziobiak)

The quasivariety \mathcal{R} with definable relative principal congruences is finitely based iff the class \mathcal{R}_{SI} of \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible algebras is strictly elementary.

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences, continued

Theorem (J. Czelakowski, W. Dziobiak)

The quasivariety \mathcal{R} with definable relative principal congruences is finitely based iff the class \mathcal{R}_{SI} of \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible algebras is strictly elementary.

Proof of if direction.

Let $\Gamma(x, y, u, v)$ define principal congruences in \mathcal{R} .

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences, continued

Theorem (J. Czelakowski, W. Dziobiak)

The quasivariety \mathcal{R} with definable relative principal congruences is finitely based iff the class \mathcal{R}_{SI} of \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible algebras is strictly elementary.

Proof of if direction.

Let $\Gamma(x, y, u, v)$ define principal congruences in \mathcal{R} .

- 1 There exists a finite set of quasi-identities Σ such that $\mathcal{R} = \text{Mod}(\Sigma) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{SI} = \text{Mod}(\Sigma)_{SI} \cap \mathcal{R}$.

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences, continued

Theorem (J. Czelakowski, W. Dziobiak)

The quasivariety \mathcal{R} with definable relative principal congruences is finitely based iff the class \mathcal{R}_{SI} of \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible algebras is strictly elementary.

Proof of if direction.

Let $\Gamma(x, y, u, v)$ define principal congruences in \mathcal{R} .

- 1 There exists a finite set of quasi-identities Σ such that $\mathcal{R} = \text{Mod}(\Sigma) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\mathcal{R}_{SI} = \text{Mod}(\Sigma)_{SI} \cap \mathcal{R}$.
- 2 There is a formula $\Delta(u, v)$ such that $A \models \Delta(c, d)$ iff

$$\{(e, f) \in A^2 \mid A \models \Gamma(e, f, c, d)\}$$

is a $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ -congruence of A containing (c, d) .

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences, continued

Proof continued.

- 3 Because $\mathcal{R} \models (\forall u, v)\Delta(u, v)$, there is I a finite set of identities such that

$$\mathcal{R} \models I \text{ and } I \cup \Sigma \models (\forall u, v)\Delta(u, v).$$

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences, continued

Proof continued.

- 3 Because $\mathcal{R} \models (\forall u, v)\Delta(u, v)$, there is I a finite set of identities such that

$$\mathcal{R} \models I \text{ and } I \cup \Sigma \models (\forall u, v)\Delta(u, v).$$

- 4 Let

$$\psi = (\exists u, v) \left[u \not\approx v \wedge (\forall x, y) [x \not\approx y \rightarrow \Gamma(u, v, x, y)] \right]$$

and $\mathcal{R}_{SI} = \text{Mod}(\chi)$.

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences, continued

Proof continued.

- 3 Because $\mathcal{R} \models (\forall u, v)\Delta(u, v)$, there is I a finite set of identities such that

$$\mathcal{R} \models I \text{ and } I \cup \Sigma \models (\forall u, v)\Delta(u, v).$$

- 4 Let

$$\psi = (\exists u, v) \left[u \not\approx v \wedge (\forall x, y) [x \not\approx y \rightarrow \Gamma(u, v, x, y)] \right]$$

and $\mathcal{R}_{S_I} = \text{Mod}(\chi)$. Then there is a finite set J of identities such that $\mathcal{R} \models J$ and $\Sigma \cup J \cup \{\psi\} \models \chi$.

Definable \mathcal{R} -congruences, continued

Proof continued.

- 3 Because $\mathcal{R} \models (\forall u, v)\Delta(u, v)$, there is I a finite set of identities such that

$$\mathcal{R} \models I \text{ and } I \cup \Sigma \models (\forall u, v)\Delta(u, v).$$

- 4 Let

$$\psi = (\exists u, v) \left[u \not\approx v \wedge (\forall x, y) [x \not\approx y \rightarrow \Gamma(u, v, x, y)] \right]$$

and $\mathcal{R}_{S_I} = \text{Mod}(\chi)$. Then there is a finite set J of identities such that $\mathcal{R} \models J$ and $\Sigma \cup J \cup \{\psi\} \models \chi$.

- 5 We have $R_{S_I} = \text{Mod}(\Sigma \cup I \cup J)_{S_I}$ and thus $R = \text{Mod}(\Sigma \cup I \cup J)$.

Definable relative principal subcongruences

Obstruction

Relative congruence-distributive quasivariety does not need to have definable relative principal congruences.

Definable relative principal subcongruences

Obstruction

Relative congruence-distributive quasivariety does not need to have definable relative principal congruences.

Definition

A quasivariety \mathcal{R} has **definable relative principal subcongruences** if there are \mathcal{R} -congruence formulas Γ_1, Γ_2 such that for all $A \in \mathcal{R}$ and each pair of distinct elements $a, b \in A$, there is a pair of distinct elements $c, d \in A$ such that

$$A \models \Gamma_1(c, d, a, b) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{\mathcal{R}}(c, d) = \{(e, f) \mid A \models \Gamma_2(e, f, c, d)\}.$$

Proof of Pigozzi theorem

Fact (Mostly due to K. Baker and J. Wang)

A finitely generated relatively congruence-distributive quasivariety has definable relative principal subcongruences.

Proof of Pigozzi theorem

Fact (Mostly due to K. Baker and J. Wang)

A finitely generated relatively congruence-distributive quasivariety has definable relative principal subcongruences.

Fact

The quasivariety \mathcal{R} with definable relative principal subcongruences is finitely based iff the class \mathcal{R}_{SI} of \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible algebras is strictly elementary.

Proof of Pigozzi theorem

Fact (Mostly due to K. Baker and J. Wang)

A finitely generated relatively congruence-distributive quasivariety has definable relative principal subcongruences.

Fact

The quasivariety \mathcal{R} with definable relative principal subcongruences is finitely based iff the class \mathcal{R}_{SI} of \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible algebras is strictly elementary.

Proof.

By refining the proof of Czelakowski-Dziobiak theorem. □

Proof of Pigozzi theorem, continued

Proof of Pigozzi theorem.

Let \mathcal{F} be a finite family of finite algebras and $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{SP}(\mathcal{F})$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{SI} \subseteq \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{F})$ is a finite family of finite algebras and hence it is strictly elementary. Now use previous facts. □

Proof of Pigozzi theorem, continued

Proof of Pigozzi theorem.

Let \mathcal{F} be a finite family of finite algebras and $\mathcal{R} = \mathbf{SP}(\mathcal{F})$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{SI} \subseteq \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{F})$ is a finite family of finite algebras and hence it is strictly elementary. Now use previous facts. \square

Problem

Let \mathcal{R} be a relatively congruence-distributive quasivariety and assume that the class \mathcal{R}_{SI} of \mathcal{R} -subdirectly irreducible algebras is strictly elementary. Must \mathcal{R} be finitely based?

The End

Thank you for your attention :-)